UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,986	09/26/2003	Min-Chol Yoon	CU=3656	9767	
<sup>26530</sup> LADAS & PAI	7590 07/17/2007 RRY LLP		EXAMINER		
224 SOUTH M	IICHIGAN AVENUE		KOCA, HUSEYIN		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·	•		3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/672,986	YOON, MIN-CHOL			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Th. 11411 (1) 0 0 1 T 1 1 1 1		Huseyin Koca	3744			
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	nun une corresponaence address			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN e may be available under the provisions of 37 C ITHS from the mailing date of this communication	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on	<u> 26 September 2003</u> .				
-,—	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	••	•	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed II	n accordance with the practice un	uer <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	J. 11, 400 U.G. 210.			
Disposition of CI	aims		•			
4a) Of th 5)	<ul> <li>1-5 is/are pending in the applicative above claim(s) is/are with is/are allowed.</li> <li>1,2 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>3 and 4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Pape	ers					
<i>,</i> — ,	cification is objected to by the Exa					
•	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)					
• •	t may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nce.  See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	ı <b>v</b>		
•	or declaration is objected to by the			1).		
Priority under 35						
12) Acknowle  a) All b  1. C  2. C  3. C	edgment is made of a claim for for some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority docur opies of the certified copies of the priority docur opies of the certified copies of the oplication from the International Buttached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage			
· <del>-</del>	ences Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) il Date <u>03/02/06</u> .		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/672,986

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Suction muffler with a flow controller for compressor."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites, "...an imaginary circle..." (Claim 2, lines 6 and 12) which makes the claim unclear in context.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,986 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (5,888,055).

In regard to claim 1, Lee teaches a muffler body (41) having a suction port connected to a refrigerant suction pipe (29), a discharge port, and a resonator (Fig. 2); a muffler base connected to the discharge port for inducing refrigerant discharged through the discharge port to flow into a cylinder (27) (Fig. 2); and a flow controller (45) disposed in the suction port for controlling and steadying flow of refrigerant into the suction port (Fig. 2; C-3, L-19-5; C-4, L-1-10).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/672,986

Art Unit: 3744

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (5,888,055), and further in view of Anderson, Jr. (5,081,847).

In regard to claim 2, Lee teaches most of the limitations of the claim but do not explicitly teach the plurality of refrigerant sub-paths. Anderson teaches a fixing member (60) having a main refrigerant path (70c), a plurality of refrigerant sub-paths (78) formed to vertically penetrate the fixing member (60) along and adjacent to the main refrigerant path (70c) at predetermined intervals; a movable member (74) having a first throughhole formed to correspond to the main refrigerant path, and a plurality of second through holes (74a) formed at predetermined intervals disposed in the space of the fixing member (60) to move between a first location for closing of refrigerant sub-paths (74a) and a second location for opening plurality of refrigerant sub-paths (74a); a resilient member (79) resiliently supporting the movable member and biasing that member towards the second location (Fig. 3 – Fig. 6; C-6, L-4-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lee suction muffler so that it comprises the flow controller taught by Anderson in order to advantageously control the flow of the refrigerant more precisely to improve the efficiency of the compressor.

In regard to claim 5, Anderson teaches that the resilient member (79) is a compression coil spring (C-6, L-43).

## **Double Patenting**

10. Claims 1-5 of this application conflict with claims 1-5 of Application No.

10427781. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huseyin Koca whose telephone number is (571) 272-3048. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 9:00AM to 4:00PM.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,986 Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HK/

FRANTZ JULES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER